WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date: V-1-17 Inspector: 100 Million						
Time: 10 COAWeather Conditions: Showy - CO(c)						
7		Yes	No	Notes		
CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)						
1. Was bulging, sliding, re localized settlement obsides or upper dec CCR?	served on the					
Were conditions observed containing CCR or with operations that represent to ongoing CCR managements.	uin the general landfill at a potential disruption ement operations?					
3. Were conditions observe within the general lands represent a potential distinct the CCR management of	ill operations that ruption of the safety of					
CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))						
4. Was CCR received during period? If answer is no information required.						
5. Was all CCR condition suppresants) prior to de				Borton Ach		
6. If response to question a conditioned (wetted) properties and face, or susceptable to fugitive of	ior to transport to r was the CCR not		-	-		
7. Was CCR spillage obsertantial access roads?	rved at the scale or on		V.	·		
8. Was CCR fugitive dust landfill? If the answer i corrective action measures.	s yes, describe					
9. Are current CCR fugitive measures effective? If the describe recommended of the second sec	he answer is no,					
10. Were CCR fugitive dust complaints received durperiod? If the answer is	ing the reporting	·				
11. Were the citizen compla	ints logged?			NA		
Additional Notes:						

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT							
Date: A T I SKB LANSING LANDFILL Inspector: LANDFILL							
Time: 100 AV Weather Conditions: 1099 W-26"							
	·	Yes	No	Notes			
CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)							
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing						
. 2.	CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?						
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.						
CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))							
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		·				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		i/	ROHOM PSh			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?						
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?						
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.						
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.						
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question						
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			Wit			
Additional	Notes:						